

# *Mapping the Spectrum of Light Quark Mesons and Gluonic Excitations with Linearly Polarized Photons*


## *Phenomenology*

**Jo Dudek, Jefferson Lab**

**PAC 30**

# Models of Gluonic Excitations

- ▶ the flux-tube model has historically dominated the field
- ▶ has a rather complete phenomenological coverage
  - ▶ *mass spectrum estimates (Isgur & Paton)*




$$\delta m \sim \left\langle \frac{1}{r} \right\rangle$$

$$m_{\mathcal{H}} \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$$

$$1^{-+}, 0^{+-}, 2^{+-} + \text{non-exotics}$$


- ▶ *hadronic decay widths (Isgur, Kokoski, Close, Page ...)*



$\Rightarrow$  "S+P" rule

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{e.g. } \eta_1 \rightarrow \pi h_1 & \pi_1 \rightarrow \pi b_1 \\ \eta_1 \not\rightarrow \pi \omega & \pi_1 \not\rightarrow \pi \rho \end{array}$$

- ▶ *photocouplings (Close & JJD)*




$$\frac{A_{\mathcal{H}}}{A_C} \sim \frac{\sqrt{b}}{m_q} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

# Lattice QCD

- ▶ lattice QCD is, in principle, a controlled approximation to QCD
- ▶ computational power limits
  - ▶ the fineness of the lattice spacing  $a \gtrsim 0.06 \text{ fm}$
  - ▶ the size of the box  $L \lesssim 3 \text{ fm}$
  - ▶ the light quark mass  $m_\pi \gtrsim 250 \text{ MeV}$
- ▶ in the years before and during *GlueX* expect to see all the flux-tube model predictions tested using lattice QCD


# Lightest $1^{-+}$ from Lattice QCD

- ▶ summary of world simulation data




# Lightest $1^{-+}$ from Lattice QCD

- ▶ JLab effort over next two years will significantly improve this picture



dynamical ( $N_F=2+1$ )  
anisotropic Clover



two volumes  $V = 2.4, 3.2 \text{ fm}$   
two lattice spacings,  $a_s = 0.10, 0.125 \text{ fm}$

$1/a_t = 6 \text{ GeV}$

# Wider Meson Spectrum

- ▶ *GlueX* will be a meson spectrometer of broad scope
- ▶ *JLab* lattice QCD spectrum program has same aim
  - ▶ excited states in a given  $J^{PC}$  via variational method

*e.g. baryon sector work by LHPC claims 9 excited states !*

- ▶ large set of  $J^{PC}$  using big operator set

$$\bar{\psi} \Gamma \psi$$


$$\bar{\psi} \Gamma \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \psi$$

$$\bar{\psi} \Gamma \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \overleftrightarrow{D}_\nu \psi$$

$$\bar{\psi} \Gamma F^{\mu\nu} \psi$$


# Hadronic Decays

- ▶ challenging topic in lattice QCD, currently two approaches
  - ▶ *Lüscher method*  
uses the volume dependence of energy levels to extract a phase shift & hence a decay width
  - ▶ *Michael method*  
tunes the quark mass to put the two-particle decay at threshold & extracts a strong coupling



# Photocouplings

- ▶ *GlueX* will photoproduce mesons



- ▶ couplings virtually unknown even for conventional mesons - clear target for Lattice QCD *predictions*



# Photocouplings

- ▶ work is underway - initially in comparison to the good charmonium radiative transition data



PHYSICAL REVIEW D 73, 074507 (2006)

## Radiative transitions in charmonium from lattice QCD

Jozef J. Dudek,\* Robert G. Edwards, and David G. Richards

Jefferson Laboratory Mail-Stop 12H2, 12000 Jefferson Avenue, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

(Received 17 January 2006; published 20 April 2006)




# Phenomenology of PWA / Reactions

- ▶ although photon polarization helps, extracting reliable resonance data directly from cross-sections is tough
- ▶ partial wave analysis is the required tool
- ▶ considerable experience within the *GlueX* collaboration, analyzing data from
  - ▶ *E852*
  - ▶ *CLAS*
  - ▶ *Crystal Barrel*
- ▶ new, independent, code development at *IU* and *CMU*

see e.g. [www-meg.phys.cmu.edu/~pwa](http://www-meg.phys.cmu.edu/~pwa)

# Phenomenology of PWA

- ▶ conventional analyses of multi-particle final states adopt isobar model, e.g. in  $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi N$



$$I(m_{3\pi}, t, \dots) = \left| \sum_{\text{wave}} C_{\text{wave}}(m_{3\pi}, t) A_{\text{wave}}(\dots) \right|^2$$

*prod.*                      *decay*


- ▶ simplifies analysis considerably
- ▶ but not totally general

$$A_{\text{wave}} = P_{\text{isobar}}(m_{2\pi}) D(\theta_1, \phi_1) D(\theta_2, \phi_2)$$

*fixed isobar propagator*

# Beyond the Isobar Model

- ▶ known since the beginning of multiparticle analysis that 'factorised' assumption can be violated
  - ▶ e.g. the Deck effect



$$\pi \rightarrow \pi^* + \text{isobar}$$

*'diffractive dissociation'*


$$\pi^* N \rightarrow \pi N$$

*'quasi on-shell scattering'*


- ▶ modeling this diagram one gets a threshold peak in the *isobar* -  $\pi$  S-wave

# Deck Effect in $\pi N \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi N$

- ▶ to fit data phenomenologists have assumed that isobar-model (*factorized*) analysis puts most of the Deck amplitude in the right partial wave
- ▶ then have plausible explanations for
  - ▶ 'distorted' line-shape of  $\alpha_1$  meson





$1^{++} \rho\pi S$



$$D_R = D \left[ \underset{BW}{r e^{i\delta} \sin \delta} + e^{i\delta} \underset{BW}{\cos \delta} \right]$$

- ▶ shifted  $\pi_2$  peak in  $f_2\pi S, D$ -waves



# Deck Effect & Isobar Model

- ▶ we don't know exactly how the isobar model distributes the non-factorized Deck amplitude amongst 'isobar partial waves'
- ▶ it may be that some amplitude from a strong wave ends up in a minor wave - *real physics 'leakage'*
- ▶ slightly modified version of Deck will appear in photoproduction - added complication/benefit of polarization?
- ▶ future efforts should attempt more varied amplitude analyses

# GlueX Theory Support

- ▶ *GlueX* relevant theory/phenomenology work is thriving
  - ▶ lattice QCD now addressing relevant model predictions
  - ▶ increased interest in amplitude analysis set to make *GlueX* results definitive


# extra slides

- ▶ for the inquisitive




# Lightest $0^{+-}$ from Lattice QCD

- ▶ summary of world simulation data



# Lightest $2^{+-}$ from Lattice QCD

- ▶ summary of world simulation data




# Lattice QCD & model testing


- ▶ quark masses will decrease in the future, but even now we can make good use of lattice data
- ▶ we can compare lattice QCD calculations with 'heavier' quarks vs model calculations with 'heavier' quarks

# Variational Method

## ▶ traditional method



## ▶ variational method



e.g. baryon sector  
work by LHPC  
9 excited states !

