CDC Geometry GlueX-doc-744 (version 1)

Curtis A. Meyer Carnegie Mellon University

February 2007

The original CDC design had an active length of $200 \, cm$ and 23 instrumented layers going from about $16 \, cm$ to $58 \, cm$ radius $(L = 42 \, cm)$. The wire layout is summarized in Table 1. As indicated in the table, the smallest radius of a stereo wire is about $23 \, cm$ at the center of the chamber.

One of the questions raised at the GlueX detector review had to do with vertex resolution and the placement of the stereo layers. It was suggested that the stereo layers be brought closer to the beam line to improve the z-vertex resolution from tracks. A careful study was carried out on this shortly after the review and concurred that moving both the straight and stereo layers closer to the beam axis would make a significant improvement in vertex resolution. Unfortunately, background estimates at the time indicated that moving closer to the beam line was not an option.

Since that time, it was realized that the magnetic field near the beam axis was not included in the original background estimates. The studies have been redone and it now looks reasonable to move the layers of the CDC closer to the beam line.

Table 2 shows a proposed placement of the the layers of the CDC. It moves the layers in such that they span from about $11 \, cm$ to $56 \, cm$ $(L = 45 \, cm)$, and moves the first stereo layers into a radius of about $16 \, cm$. These changes would improve both momentum and vertex resolution of the chamber as well as freeing up space between the CDC and the BCAL for infrastructure that may be needed. The one possible issue is that for the inner layer to be at about $11 \, cm$, the inner radius of the end plates and shell of the chamber would need to be at about $9 \, cm$ radius.

Layer	Wires	Radius	Radius	Stereo
		(center)	(plate)	
1	63	16.049	16.049	
2	70	17.831	17.831	
3	77	19.613	19.613	
4	84	21.395	21.395	
5	91	23.178	25.449	$+6^{\circ}$
6	98	24.960	27.082	$+6^{\circ}$
7	105	26.742	28.733	-6°
8	112	28.524	30.398	-6°
9	126	32.089	32.089	
10	133	33.871	33.871	
11	140	35.654	35.654	
12	147	37.436	37.436	
13	154	39.218	39.218	
14	161	41.001	42.326	$+6^{\circ}$
15	168	42.783	44.055	$+6^{\circ}$
16	175	44.566	45.788	-6°
17	182	46.348	47.525	-6°
18	193	49.149	49.149	
19	200	50.932	50.932	
20	207	52.714	52.714	
21	214	54.497	54.497	
22	221	56.279	56.279	
23	228	58.062	58.062	

Table 1: Reference Wire Layout. This has 3349 instrumented wires.

Wires	Radius	Radius	Stereo
	(center)	(plate)	
43	10.960	10.960	
50	12.741	12.741	
57	14.522	14.522	
64	16.304	18.718	$+6^{\circ}$
71	18.086	20.289	$+6^{\circ}$
78	19.868	21.892	-6°
85	21.650	23.522	-6°
99	25.214	25.214	
106	26.997	26.997	
113	28.779	28.779	
120	30.561	30.561	
127	32.344	32.344	
134	34.126	35.343	$+6^{\circ}$
141	35.908	37.067	$+6^{\circ}$
148	37.691	38.796	-6°
155	39.473	40.530	-6°
166	42.274	42.274	
173	44.057	44.057	
180	45.839	45.839	
187	47.621	47.621	
194	49.404	49.404	
201	51.186	51.186	
208	52.969	52.969	
215	54.751	54.751	
222	56.534	56.534	
	Wires 43 50 57 64 71 78 85 99 106 113 120 127 134 141 148 155 166 173 180 187 194 201 208 215 222	WiresRadius (center) 43 10.960 50 12.741 57 14.522 64 16.304 71 18.086 78 19.868 85 21.650 99 25.214 106 26.997 113 28.779 120 30.561 127 32.344 134 34.126 141 35.908 148 37.691 155 39.473 166 42.274 173 44.057 180 45.839 187 47.621 194 49.404 201 51.186 208 52.969 215 54.751 222 56.534	WiresRadiusRadius $(center)$ $(plate)$ 4310.96010.9605012.74112.7415714.52214.5226416.30418.7187118.08620.2897819.86821.8928521.65023.5229925.21425.21410626.99726.99711328.77928.77912030.56130.56112732.34432.34413434.12635.34314135.90837.06714837.69138.79615539.47340.53016642.27442.27417344.05744.05718045.83945.83918747.62147.62119449.40449.40420151.18651.18620852.96952.96921554.75154.751

Table 2: Shifted in Wire Layout. This has 3337 instrumented wires.