# bcal status:

mechanical, beam tests, cosmics & simulations

Z. Papandreou on behalf of the BCAL Working Group

contributors:

- F. Barbosa, T. Beattie, A. Fischer, S. Krueger, D, Lawrence, Y. Qiang, A. Semenov,
- I. Semenova, E. Smith, I. Tolstukhin, T. Whitlatch, et al.

presented at the GlueX Collaboration Meeting, held at Jefferson Lab october 5, 2012



# At a glance... work at JLab and Regina

- Mechanical
- Beam Tests
- Cosmics
- SiPMs
  - Readout Assembly (Integrated Electronics Wedge)
  - Resolution
  - Cross Talk and After Pulsing
  - QA & Light guides: see talk by Hayk Hakobyan
- Simulations/Reconstruction





### Module Stacking (T. Beattie, T. Whitlatch) GlueX-doc-2036

- In July, the optimal module stacking was looked into, based on the tolerance info on the Ross Machine Shop travellers.
- We came up with a stacking solution, accounting for the lead-off module, DC rails, and keystone.
- LG gluing starts in December.
- Modules can be stacked from bottom on the tool, until 1/2 full, craned to the platform and then inserted one by one; this depends on the work flow.



BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012





### SiPM Binning (S. Kruger, J. McKisson)

- All available SiPMs were sorted based on operating voltage, adjusted to 5°C.
- The exact resistance needed is found by R=V/I where

$$I_{0} = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{B_{0}R_{T_{0}}^{2}U}{T^{2}[R_{Lin} + U]^{2}} - \frac{B_{0}R_{Lin}U}{T^{2}[R_{Lin} + U]}\right]} \text{ and } U = R_{T_{0}}e^{B_{0}\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{0}}\right)}$$

### <u>Objective</u> Select SiPMs (bias grouping and per

readout module)

• The fixed resistance value is subtracted from the exact resistance to find the resistance that must be supplied by the trims

					R2exact	R2trim
Serial No.	Vop Average	Mvari	Dark Average	Vop5C	[ohms]	[ohms]
840	72.106875	11.57%	1.1875	71.2869	92913.56709	123.5670881
1292	72.106875	7.29%	0.95125	71.2869	92913.56709	123.5670881
663	72.108125	8.00%	1.0125	71.2881	92915.19631	125.1963075

- One of the R2 trim resistors is a <u>large resistor</u> used to supplement the fixed resistor to allow for <u>better granularity</u> on the remaining resistors
- The max value of R2trim is divided such that each of the <u>remaining 3 resistors</u> provides (1/4), (1/8), and (1/16) of the remaining resistance needed.
- Required voltage is determined given the resistors used for the trim
- Each group will be supplied by the largest required voltage within that group
- In order to compensate for the excess voltage the Rs trim will be used.

BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012

- A minimizing sequence is applied using various values for Rs trims until the <u>difference between supplied</u> <u>voltage and ideal voltage is</u> <u>minimized</u> for the entire set.
- With the evolution of the control boards these procedures will also change.



### Beam Test Proposal: GlueX-doc-1900

- April-May 2012 in Hall B; Group effort, thanks to Hall B!
- 80 SiPMs plus electronics, LGs, cooling; <u>first full</u> <u>operational test.</u>
- Energies, angles.
- Revealed "issues", now addressed.





Calorimetry Working Group presentations & discussions

BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012





# Beam Test II - Objectives

• Objective A: Fully instrument this module with 40 production-version, largearea SiPMs on each end, coupled via production light guides, complete with their board electronics and mechanical assembly, coupled to Flash ADCs, F1 TDCs and read out by the planned Hall D DAQ and online system. This would afford the <u>first ever comprehensive tryout</u> of all aspects associated with the BCAL readout in a realistic beam environment and expose any issues that would require corrections or adjustments.

Ringing/noise complicated matters; technical difficulties Objective B: Collect data on the tagger floor at electron energies around 1700~MeV. Constrain the floor term in the energy resolution, previously measured at 2.3% (using a much shorter lever arm: 150-650~MeV). Also take data under the tagger alcove at around 275~MeV to anchor to past measurements and validate reconstruction code. Also, install the LED-based <u>monitoring system</u> at least on two opposing BCAL cells, so as to investigate operation as well as optical cross talk. Got this done on the bench



# Beam Test III - Setup

### Readout granularity, channel count



#### Expected next week

- 2 preamp/sum and bias boards
  - 2x40 preamps
- 80 light guides from Santa Maria

#### On-hand

- 3 Flash ADCs
  - 16x2 channels for mini-Bcal
  - 16 channels for trigger
- 1 F1 TDC
  - 12 channels for mini-Bcal
  - 4 channels for trigger
  - Power supplies
    - Bias ~70V for SiPMs
    - +/- 5V for preamps
  - Cables
- 200 lbs weight
- Keep SiPMs out of harm's way
- Couple pivot to middle
- Pivot to align to electrons
- Transition piece or rotate entire unit on floor

#### Z. Papandreou & GlueX-Regina Team, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, February 17, 2012









### GlueX-doc-1996 Beam Test IV - Positions/Energies (A. Semenov, I. Semenova)



Set#1: 0.6GeV, 90 deg / Set#2 1.2GeV, 20 deg, Set#3 1.9 GeV, 5 deg

- Set#1 0.22E<sub>0</sub>, Temp 15-18 deg, mechanical fixes needed
- Set#2 0.22E<sub>0</sub>, Temp unstable, ringing in downstream board improved
- Set#3 0.35E<sub>0</sub>, Temp 11 deg, both boards improved (pileup...)
- Analysis continues: timing information



### GlueX-doc-2044, 2045 Mini BCAL Bench Tests (T. Beattie, T. Bogart, E. Smith)

- SiPM Bias set to 74-75V (+1.2V overbias); cell summing, temp at 16° C.
- LED operation (one side): lower operational bias range ~6V; 42hrs stability:±0.4%. Visible by eye.
- Far/Near ratio is good.
- Optical cross talk examined.
- Cosmic muons used to test SiPM signals; LED coupling
- Changes: bias distribution modification; ground traces, assembly procedure, gain dropped by x2.5
- Work continues.

Ch1 vs Ch2



Ratio ch2/ch1 in peak











#### GlueX-doc-2053

### SiPM Readout Assemblies (F. Barbosa et al., E. Smith)

- Two prototype boards tested during April-May Beam Test.
- These were modified over the summer and assembled into readout packages. The SiPM binning was employed to select units.
- Boards now have mirror arrangement: bias voltage inputs now power the same calorimeter cells for the upstream and downstream; fADC and TDC with the same no on downstream and upstream, correspond to same cell.
- Measured the response of the sensors to the monitoring LED light.
- Assembly process was streamlined and components were simplified.
- Amplifier gain was reduced by a factor of ×2.5, although the gain of the TDC relative to fADC was kept at x5.
- Ground traces on the new boards were increased from 0.5 and 1.0 oz of Cu to 1.0 and 2.0 oz of Cu to reduce the ringing on the boards induced by large signals in adjacent sensors and coupled through the input bias.
- <u>We have verified that the improvements over the prototype design will</u> <u>meet the design goals:</u>
  - Output gain measured at x2.1; ok, since overbias might drop to +1.2V.
  - Ringing substantially reduced to 7 counts above pedestal.
  - Summed output of the induced ringing noise is ~0.







Z. Papandreou & GlueX-Regina Team, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, February 17, 2012

#### GlueX-doc-2049

### Cosmics with SiPMs (T. Beattie, A. Fischer, S. Krueger, A. Semenov)

Scintiallator Trapezoidal Light Guide Cosmic runs to extract fiber SiPM SiPM parameters using SiPMs PMT PMT Head-On View PMT IT PMT 2T PMT 4T PMT 5T PMT 3T SiPM South SiPM North BCAL MODULE • Ham. R329-02 PMTs/grease/ PMT Sout PMT North PMT 1B PMT 2B PMT 3B PMT 4B PMT 5B 2 cm x 2 cm Winston Cone/grease 10x Amplifier Coincidence SiPM LE TDC Discriminato 2010 Pre-prod SiPMs/dry/ PMT -3 cm x 3 cm x 1.5 cr ADC Chilean Guides/grease Bun00034 - ADC Redestal Fit - North PMT Bur00034 - ADC Pedettal Fit - South SPM PERK PEDS AFIT2S 99.73 395.4 8140 4.828 35.92 RMS 81.72 1100 13.54/42 37.64 ( 33 • 5 trigger scint pairs 11.29 ( 65 0.2653 Prob 152.6 ± 9.8 Constan 41.2 ± 0.0  $12.09 \pm 1.51$ Signa 0.9528 ± 0.0353  $27.79 \pm 1.23$  $82.44 \pm 5.3$ **SiPM PMT PMT** noise • ADC, TDC info 50 100 150 250 300 .35 300 ADC channe ADC channel BCAL Working Group Update, Hall D at Jefferson I ab 11 GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012 www.gluex.org

### Cosmics II

- AttenLen PMT =  $(325\pm5)$  cm
  - 2010 test: (318±6) cm
- AttenLen SiPM =  $(436 \pm 13)$  cm!
  - "Naked fibers": (377±15) cm
  - Blue-green fibers
- Time-walk corrections
- Light Speed =  $(17.4\pm0.3)$  cm/ns for PMT
- Light Speed =  $(17.0\pm0.3)$  cm/ns for SiPM
- Timing Res PMT = 410ps
- Npe SiPM ~30p.e. somewhat low, but still checking...



BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012



### CAL WG updates SiPM Resolution using a UV Laser (S. Kruger)

- PicoQuant PDL 800-B laser
- 2.5 MHz frequency pulses
- 2 ms timer to slow count rate
- Bias supplied by Keithley 6487
  Voltage Source
- Signals check on scope and fed into ADC







# SiPM Resolution II

- Plot of RMS/Corrected Mean vs bias
- Top: Laser Intensity 2.2 a.u.
- Bottom: Laser Intensity 2.6 a.u.
- Seeking minimum in resolution for our operation: uncertainty in breakdown bias value.
- (70.79-0.9) V = 69.89 V, but we may be seeing a higher value





Hall D at Jefferson Lab www.gluex.org

# SiPM Resolution III

- Plotted Dark Current (µA) on log scale vs bias.
- Point of rapid growth indicates breakdown point: we don't see it quite yet.
- Limitation using ADC: down to 40 channels; next we will:
  - use x10 amplifier to zoom in
  - do conventional IV scan

#### SiPM 2: Breakdown Voltage



- Inside dark box
- No laser Light
- SiPM and Board are on





### GlueX-doc-2051 SiPM Cross Talk & After Pulsing (Y. Qiang, I. Tolstukhin)

- Separate Xtalk and After Pulses
- Measure the dependence of Xtalk and After Pulses on bias
- Time constant of After Pulses
- 4 SiPM Array units
- 0.6-1.4 V overbias scan
  - <u>Hamamatsu suggests +0.9V</u>
  - Our plan was at +1.2V
- 0%-6.3% intensity scan
- Gate 150ns-1µs





## SiPM XTalk & AP II



- The total Xtalk and After Pulses for real light as a function of the gate for different overvoltages and SiPMs.
- f2(∞) = p0 = After pulses+Xtalk

• f2(50 ns) = Xtalk (50 ns - gate start to signal max)



BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012

17



Hall D at Jefferson Lab www.gluex.org

# SiPM XTalk & AP III

- Method results in disentangling Cross Talk from After Pulsing
- Results show values quite high at +1.2V (ca 20% EACH!)
- Consider operating at +0.9V-1.0V (close to Hamamatsu operating bias)







#### **CAL WG updates**

### Sampling Fraction Simulation (A. Semenov, I. Semenova)

- Currently we use a single value for the S.F. at 9.5% across all cells, for all energies and angles.
- Simulate/understand the fine grained behavior, and include in a look up table/parametrization
- Challenge in fitting tails.
- Work is going well, hope to bring to a conclusion and update the code.







### CAL WG updates BCAL Simulated Signal Formation (D. Lawrence)

- <u>Realism in code</u>; push towards calibration db usage, detail sampling fraction application
- Energy weighted timing spectra are written out for each SiPM from hdgeant
- Smearing stage (mcsmear) adds in several resolution effects and forms electronic pulse shape
- Threshold crossing (4mV) is used to set integration window and determine timing of pulses
- Separate TDC hits are generated using different threshold (45mV)

Raw time (axis range is 10ns) Samp. Fluc. (axis range is 10ns) time Poisson Stats. (axis range is 10ns) time Fime Jitter (axis range is 10ns) time **Dark Hits** time (axis range is 400ns) Summed Cells → (axis range is 400ns) time TDC threshold=45mV **Elec.** Pulse fADC threshold=4mV 200ns integration window time ➤ (axis range is 400ns)

BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012





# BCAL Energy Resolution From Simulated Data

(IU algorithm)

#### Multiple sets of mono-energetic photons simulated and reconstructed



- Reconstructed peak fit to Gaussian
- σ over mean of fit determines resolution (see next slide)
- Dark hits pedestals not subtracted leading to slightly better o/E resolutions than we actually expect

Each cell included in cluster contains dark hits which effectively increase the pedestal. No pedestal subtraction was done for the current plots.



BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012





# **BCAL Energy Resolution II**



- Smearing includes 4.2% stochastic (1/ √E) term and 1.3% floor term <u>as input</u>
- Fits include noise term fixed at 1.0%/E and floor term fixed at 1.3% (only 1//√E term allowed to float)
- Dark hits add ~ 2.5%//E for 15°, 20°, and 30° data







# **Timing Distributions**

### Time from fADC250



- Dotted lines are calculated (with a constant offset). The spacing differs for upstream and downstream since the time-of-flight subtracts from the downstream while it adds to the upstream.
- Good progress; apply the sampling fraction & start using calibration etc

BCAL Working Group Update, GlueX Collaboration Meeting, October 5, 2012

23



Hall D at Jefferson Lab www.gluex.org

## what's next?

- LG & LED gluing; installation (T. Whitlatch talk)
- <u>Simulation/Reconstruction efforts</u>:  $(E,\theta)$  grid into code, etc.
- <u>SiPM Evaluation</u>:
  - finalize expected performance characteristics
  - understand optimal starting performance
- Beam Test: finish analysis, look at timing information.
- <u>Calibration</u>: carry out studies.
- <u>TDR</u>: continue working on it in the fall, finalize BCAL part by Feb meeting.





