#### Fall 2015 and Spring 2016 Runs

A. Deur



A. Deur GlueX Coll. meeting. Feb. 18 2016

•Operation mostly for accelerator commissioning. Accelerator goals:

•Deliver a high current beam of energy  $\geq 12 \text{ GeV}$ 

•Commission 750 MHz RF separator / support multi-hall operation



•Operation mostly for accelerator commissioning. Accelerator goals:

•Deliver a high current beam of energy  $\geq 12 \text{ GeV}$ 

•Commission 750 MHz RF separator / support multi-hall operation Accelerator responsibilities for Hall D:

•Commission beam position Fast Feedback

•Commission nA BPMs

•Hall D beam line transport studies



•Operation mostly for accelerator commissioning. Accelerator goals:

•Deliver a high current beam of energy  $\geq 12 \text{ GeV}$ 

•Commission 750 MHz RF separator / support multi-hall operation Accelerator responsibilities for Hall D:

- •Commission beam position Fast Feedback
- •Commission nA BPMs
- •Hall D beam line transport studies

•Hall D solenoid was off due to modifications.

 $\Rightarrow$  no Drift Chambers on  $\Rightarrow$  no physics/polarized beam run.

Hall D main goals:

•DAQ performance tests

•Continue trigger commissioning



•Operation mostly for accelerator commissioning. Accelerator goals:

- •Deliver a high current beam  $\checkmark$  of energy  $\ge 12 \text{ GeV}$
- •Commission 750 MHz RF separator / support multi-hall operation Accelerator responsibilities for Hall D:
  - •Commission beam position Fast Feedback 🗸
  - •Commission nA BPMs ~X
  - •Hall D beam line transport studies 🗡

•Hall D solenoid was off due to modifications.

 $\Rightarrow$  no Drift Chambers on  $\Rightarrow$  no physics/polarized beam run.

Hall D main goals:

- •DAQ performance tests
- •Continue trigger commissioning

Summary of the run with link to relevant logbook entries: <u>https://logbooks.jlab.org/entry/3369812</u>



## Run conditions

•e<sup>-</sup> beam: •12.047 GeV (1.090 GeV/linac and 0.123 GeV for the injector and -0.066 GeV of synchrotron radiation losses)

•~5 nA-3 $\mu$ A.

•Al. Radiators. (Diamond radiators available, SI45-S90 (90µm), J1A50 (50µm), J2A100 (100µm) but not used)

•Solid plastic target,  $1 \text{cm} \text{CH}_2$  (HDPE) located on the nose of the ST(no need for cryotarget: no physics data taking planned)

•New neutron monitor in Hall.

Run scheduled for five weeks.

Accelerator had priority to establish 12-GeV Running.



## Run plan (final version)

a	<i>a</i> 10						a	a aa	
Sat. 12/12		Моп. 14	Tues. 15	Wed. 16	Thurs. 17	Fri. 18	Sat. 19	Sun. 20	Mon. 21
TUNE	TUNE Establish photon beam Rad. levels study: 1h Calibrate A.C. Hodosc. V—scan: 1h	Tagger detector commissioning	PS trigger run	FDC align. trig. run	FCAL/BCAL trigger run	pi0 calibration run	FCAL MIPs calib. trigger run	TAGM/TAGH trigger run (0—4:30am) 5—8am Level 3 trigger.	TAGM/TAGH trigger run or special sub-det trigger run (0-4:30am) 3-6am Level 3 trigger. 6am. Beam down
TUNE	FFB/∟A/FOPT	FFB/LA/FOPT	FFB/LA/FOPT	FFB/⊡A/FOPT	FFB/nA/FOPT	FFB/LA/FOPT	FFB/⊡A/FOPT	FFB/⊡A/FOPT	
TUNE	-	-	16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (trigger for FDC alignment) FDC align. trig. run	16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (FCAL/BCAL trigger) FCAL/BCAL trigger run	16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger test at high current. pi0 calibration run	16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (FCal MIPS calib. trigger) FCAL MIPs calib. trigger run	16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (TAGM/TAGH trigger) TAGM/TAGH trigger run	16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (TAGM/TAGH trigger, or special trigger request from sub-detectors) TAGM/TAGH trigger run or special sub-det	
	TUNE	TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TUNE TGE DAVES TH Tagger detector	TUNE       Establish photon         Beam       Rad. levels study: 1h         Calibrate A.C.       Hodosc. V-scan: 1h         TUNE       FFB/nA/FOPT         FFB/nA/FOPT       FFB/nA/FOPT         TUNE       16:00 DAQ test 1h         17:00 Trigger test 2h       16:00 DAQ test 1h         Time       Tagger detector         Tagger detector       Tagger detector	TUNE       Establish photon       Tagger detector       PS trigger run         TUNE       Rad. levels study: lh Calibrate A.C. Hodosc. V-scan: lh       Tagger detector commissioning       PS trigger run         TUNE       FFE/nA/FOPT       FFB/nA/FOPT       FFB/nA/FOPT       FFB/nA/FOPT         TUNE       I6:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger test 2h 2h (PS trigger)       16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (rigger for FDC alignment)       16:00 Trigger for FDC alignment)	TUNE     Tune       Establish photon beam     Tagger detector commissioning     PS trigger run       TUNE     Calibrate A.C. Hodosc. V-scan: 1h     Tagger detector commissioning       FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT       FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT       TUNE     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger test 2h       16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger test 2h     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PS trigger)       TUNE     Tagger detector commissioning	TUNE     Establish photon beam Rad. levels study: lh Calibrate A.C. Hodosc. V-scan: lh     Tagger detector commissioning     PS trigger run     FDC align. trig. run     FCAL/BCAL trigger run       TUNE     FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT       TUNE     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger test 2h     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PS trigger)     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (trigger for FDC alignment)     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (CAL/BCAL trigger)     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (trigger for FDC alignment)     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (CAL/BCAL     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (pC alibration run	TUNE     TUNE       Establish photon beam Rad. levels study: 1h Calibrate A.C. Hodose. V-scan: 1h     Tagger detector commissioning     PS trigger run     FDC align. trig. run     FCAL/BCAL trigger run     pi0 calibration run       TUNE     FFE/nA/FOPT     FFE/nA/FOPT     FFE/nA/FOPT     FFE/nA/FOPT     FFE/nA/FOPT     FFE/nA/FOPT       TUNE     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger test 2h     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PS trigger run     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PS trigger run     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PS trigger run     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PS trigger run     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PS trigger run     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PCal JIBCAL     16:00 DAQ test 1h 17:00 Trigger setup 2h (PCal JIBCAL	TUNE     TUNE     Tagger detector commissioning     PS trigger run     FDC align. trig. run     FCAL/BCAL trigger run     pi0 calibration run     FCAL MIPs calib. trigger run       TUNE     FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT	TUNE     TUNE     Extablish photon beam Red. levels study: In Calibrate A.C. Hodore, V=scar: Ib     Tagger detector commissioning     FS trigger run     FDC align. trig. run     FCAL/BCAL trigger run     pi0 calibration run     FCAL MIPs calib. trigger run     TAGM/TACH trigger run       TUNE     FFB/nA/FOPT     FFB/nA/FOPT

Runplan Schedule, Fall 2015 V.1

Parasitic tasks, done at a time convienient for the ones in harge \*Microscope bias voltage study. \*TDR

\*Runs on calorimeters, ST and TOF.

#### Beam came here



#### **Possible Scenarios**

- Miracle scenario: everything is proceeding without any hitch. Then the beam could be ready before Thanksgiving.
- Realistic good scenario: everything is proceeding as expected. Beam comes around Thanksgiving. We have the 10 first days busy with FFB commissioning. The remaining few days of swing and night shifts will be for Hall D commissioning
- Realistic bad scenario: the 12 GeV goal is reached near mid-December. There will be no beam in the tagger or in Hall D. FFB commissioning is postponed to Spring 16.
- Really bad scenario: the 12 GeV goal cannot reached. Accelerator would switch to Hall operation at lower energy. Depending on when accelerator decides that 12 GeV cannot reached for Fall, we may get beam availability before Thanksgiving.





•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.

•Commissioning of FFB kicked-off (overall, 8h of studies, compared to 40h expected). Start delayed because of acquisition problems. Lower beam quality and unexpected feature in beam transport delayed progress further. Feedback loop closing not achieved.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.

•Commissioning of FFB kicked-off (overall, 8h of studies, compared to 40h expected). Start delayed because of acquisition problems. Lower beam quality and unexpected feature in beam transport delayed progress further. Feedback loop closing not achieved.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•nA BPM Commissioning stopped almost right away due to firmware/software problems. Priority was given to FFB.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.

•Commissioning of FFB kicked-off (overall, 8h of studies, compared to 40h expected). Start delayed because of acquisition problems. Lower beam quality and unexpected feature in beam transport delayed progress further. Feedback loop closing not achieved.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•nA BPM Commissioning stopped almost right away due to firmware/software problems. Priority was given to FFB.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•DAQ tests were a success: Sergey managed to run it at high rates: ~40 kHz, ~90% Livetime. We are in good shape for the spring run.

•



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.

•Commissioning of FFB kicked-off (overall, 8h of studies, compared to 40h expected). Start delayed because of acquisition problems. Lower beam quality and unexpected feature in beam transport delayed progress further. Feedback loop closing not achieved.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•nA BPM Commissioning stopped almost right away due to firmware/software problems. Priority was given to FFB.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•DAQ tests were a success: Sergey managed to run it at high rates: ~40 kHz, ~90% Livetime. We are in good shape for the spring run.

•Trigger studies made good progresses: FCal+BCal trigger, and FCal+BCal+PS trigger both work well. More work remaining for Spring 16.



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.

•Commissioning of FFB kicked-off (overall, 8h of studies, compared to 40h expected). Start delayed because of acquisition problems. Lower beam quality and unexpected feature in beam transport delayed progress further. Feedback loop closing not achieved.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•nA BPM Commissioning stopped almost right away due to firmware/software problems. Priority was given to FFB.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•DAQ tests were a success: Sergey managed to run it at high rates: ~40 kHz, ~90% Livetime. We are in good shape for the spring run.

•Trigger studies made good progresses: FCal+BCal trigger, and FCal+BCal+PS trigger both work well. More work remaining for Spring 16.

•Level 3 trigger commissioning started.



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.

•Commissioning of FFB kicked-off (overall, 8h of studies, compared to 40h expected). Start delayed because of acquisition problems. Lower beam quality and unexpected feature in beam transport delayed progress further. Feedback loop closing not achieved.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•nA BPM Commissioning stopped almost right away due to firmware/software problems. Priority was given to FFB.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•DAQ tests were a success: Sergey managed to run it at high rates: ~40 kHz, ~90% Livetime. We are in good shape for the spring run.

•Trigger studies made good progresses: FCal+BCal trigger, and FCal+BCal+PS trigger both work well. More work remaining for Spring 16.

- •Level 3 trigger commissioning started.
- •5h of data taken (unpolarized photon beam, no DC, no magnetic field, CH<sub>2</sub> target). Clear  $\pi^0$  peak seen.



•Hall D got 30.2 hours of beam time.

•Beam quality not as good as Spring 15 (but better than Fall 14). Discussed in afternoon beamline talk. We had only one week of run  $\Rightarrow$  We did not want to spend time improving the beam tune.

•Commissioning of FFB kicked-off (overall, 8h of studies, compared to 40h expected). Start delayed because of acquisition problems. Lower beam quality and unexpected feature in beam transport delayed progress further. Feedback loop closing not achieved.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•nA BPM Commissioning stopped almost right away due to firmware/software problems. Priority was given to FFB.  $\Rightarrow$  Must continue work during Spring 16 run.

•DAQ tests were a success: Sergey managed to run it at high rates: ~40 kHz, ~90% Livetime. We are in good shape for the spring run.

•Trigger studies made good progresses: FCal+BCal trigger, and FCal+BCal+PS trigger both work well. More work remaining for Spring 16.

- •Level 3 trigger commissioning started.
- •5h of data taken (unpolarized photon beam, no DC, no magnetic field, CH<sub>2</sub> target). Clear  $\pi^0$  peak seen.

•Radiation level ~5 times higher than for Spring 15. New neutron detector in Hall showed that neutron levels at the DIRC location are small: often below detector sensitivity, up to 0.2 mRem/h above detector baseline with largest photon flux: ~100 nA, 10<sup>-4</sup> radiator. Confirm earlier OSL data.



# Spring 16 run

•Operation includes physics running. Beam energy same as Fall 15: 12.05 GeV Accelerator responsibilities for Hall D:

- •Continue to commission Fast Feedback
- •Continue to commission nA BPMs
- •Hall D beam line transport studies

# Spring 16 run

•Operation includes physics running. Beam energy same as Fall 16: 12.05 GeV Accelerator responsibilities for Hall D:

- •Continue to commission Fast Feedback
- •Continue to commission nA BPMs
- •Hall D beam line transport studies
- •Hall D configuration:
  - •Solenoid at 1200A
  - •Use diamond radiators asap (thick test ones first, thiner ones in March)
  - Most of work to be done on 5mm collimator hole. May test the 3.4mm hole at end of run.LH2 target.



# Spring 16 run

•Operation includes physics running. Beam energy same as Fall 16: 12.05 GeV Accelerator responsibilities for Hall D:

- •Continue to commission Fast Feedback
- •Continue to commission nA BPMs
- •Hall D beam line transport studies

•Hall D configuration:

- •Solenoid at 1200A
- •Use diamond radiators asap (thick test ones first, thiner ones in March)
- •Most of work to be done on 5mm collimator hole. May test the 3.4mm hole at end of run.


•LH2 target.

•Hall D main goals:

- •Continue trigger commissioning
- •Establish polarized beam, including on thin diamonds. Before this, gather  $\pi^0$  calibration data.
- •Commission Total Absorption Counter for absolute photon flux meas.
- •Commission Level 3 trigger
- •Gather enough polarized physics data and enough systematic data for a publication


Jefferson Lab

#### Run plan






A. Deur GlueX Coll. meeting. Feb. 18 2016







23

#### Jefferson Lab

Wednesday, February 17, 2016

A. Deur GlueX Coll. meeting. Feb. 18 2016

- •7 days behind schedule (not bad for the start). Can easily catch back ③
- •Large radiation levels, other unwanted features may be due to beam tune

Run plan: progress as of Wed. Feb.17th 2016

- •Solenoid ramp down Saturday Feb. 13th. Reason unknown. Since then
- •DAQ rate in production conditions: 20 kHz, >95% LT !! ③
- Good FFB progress: FFB loop closed
  - •nA BPM commissioning almost finished  $\textcircled{\sc s}$
  - •Present beam position stability twice above specs (without FFB) $\otimes$
  - •Quad test: well centered ③
  - Empty target and unpolarized data runs. Good vertex reconstruction. Clear π<sup>0</sup> peak seen<sup>3</sup>
    Trigger work started
  - •Goniometer work started. Coherent peak at 9 GeV in para. conf. established ③
  - •Level 3 trigger work started
  - •CDC HV scans done in the scans of the scans done in the scans don

