## NPP Acceptance Error NPP Meeting

Mark M. Ito

Jefferson Lab

May 29, 2020

Mark Ito	(II ab)

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

Table 3: Uncertainties in the extraction of  $\pi^0$  polarizabilities  $\alpha_{\pi^0} - \beta_{\pi^0}$ 

	Source	Uncertainty	
1	Statistical uncertainty	2.3 %	
2	Flux normalization	1.5 %	
3	Signal extraction	3.0 %	
4	Detector acceptance and efficiency	3.5 %	
5	Total systematic error	4.8%	
6	Total error on cross section	5.3%	
7	Projected error in $\alpha - \beta$	41%	

4. Detector acceptance and efficiency. We can measure the detector acceptance times efficiency for the process  $\gamma Pb \rightarrow \pi^0 Pb$  with an accuracy of 3.5% (Section 6.2), which should allow us to reduce the systematic uncertainty in the acceptance calculation for the process of interest to this level.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

## Section 6.2: Cross section verification with the exclusive single $\pi^0$ photo-production

(Ilya Larin)

Item	Description	PrimEx $\pi^0$	NPP $\pi^0$	Comment
1	Stat. error	2.5%	1.0%	differences from geometry and flux, Pb
				only
2	Syst. error	2.1%		Pb only, (PrimEx 2a) + (PrimEx 2b)
2a	Yield extrac-	1.6%		partly statistics driven, should be slightly
	tion syst.			worse for NPP because of FCAL resolu-
				tion vs. HyCal resolution and because of
				no charged particle sweep for NPP
2b	Beam flux ac-	1.0%		will cancel out for NPP
	counting syst.			
3	Total error		2.5-3.5%	(NPP 1) + (PrimEx 2a)
	(stat. + sys.)			
4	Total error on	1.5%		all targets (C, Pb, Sn)
	$\pi^0$ radiative			
	width			

Flux normalization error for NPP  $2\pi^0 = (NPP 3) + (PrimEx 4) = 3.0-4.0\%$ 

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >