Verification of the Pair Spectrometer Acceptance using Compton events

(work progress)

Sasha, June 12, 2020

1

6/12/2020

Comments Regarding MC Simulation

- For MC simulation set JANA_CALIB_CONTEXT to "variation = mc" (for data processing use "variation = default ")
 - CCDB tables with variation "mc" will be used during in Geant and mcsmear
- Assigned variation "MC" to the beam_spot Monte Carlo table. Added PS acceptance to the CCDB
- Some minor issues with the latest version of Geant 3 (inconsistency between the old and new geometries in digitization hitFTOF, temporary fixed, need to do more tests)

Compton Reconstruction

• Start looking on runs with the smallest beam flux, 50 nA, He target

- uncertainties in the He target density (expected to be less that 5 %)

- small fraction of accidentals (in tagger and CCAL clusters). Try to check the shape of Compton cross section
- Event selection
 - one cluster in the FCAL and one in the CCAL (Δ t = 8 ns), Δ t (FCAL RF) < 3 ns

- E_{CCAL} > 1 GeV, E_{FCAL} > 0.5 GeV

- fiducial cuts in calorimeters:

exclude fcal inner ring, $-7 \text{ cm} < X_{CCAL} < 9 \text{ cm}$, $-9 \text{ cm} < Y_{CCAL} < 9 \text{ cm}$

Monte Carlo Simulation: Compton Events



Reconstruction efficiency, Run 61914

Monte Carlo samples generated by Igal using Pawel event generator

- Radiative corrections included

- Igal has implemented the generator to the GlueX framework (to be checked) !

Compton Reconstruction



- Subtract accidentals
- Subtract background using empty target runs
- Fit for event yield using a Crystal Ball function

Run 61914

Flux Normalized Yield

Run 61914



Compton Cross Section



Note: there are uncertainties in He target density

- Shape of the cross section is in a relatively good agreement with theoretical predictions
- need to check with larger statistics