


$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$$

Jose

December 6, 2019

1 The amplitude $\gamma^{(*)}\gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$



The relevant tensor is:

$$V_{\mu\nu} \equiv \langle p_1, p_2 | T(J_\mu(x)J_\nu(y)) | 0 \rangle \quad (1)$$

where J_μ is the EM current. Fourier transforming in x and y with momenta k_1 and k_2 respectively, we can write the most general form for $V_{\mu\nu}$ which respects all symmetries:

$$V_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^5 A_i(s, t, u) T_{\mu\nu}^i \quad (2)$$

where s, t, u are Mandelstam invariants and the tensor basis which respects gauge invariance

is:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\mu\nu}^1 &= k_{1\nu} k_{2\mu} - g_{\mu\nu} k_1 \cdot k_2 \\
T_{\mu\nu}^2 &= k_{1\mu} k_{1\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} k_1^2 + \frac{1}{k_2 \cdot P} (k_{2\mu} k_1^2 - k_{1\mu} k_1 \cdot k_2) \\
T_{\mu\nu}^3 &= k_{2\mu} k_{2\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} k_2^2 + \frac{1}{k_1 \cdot P} (k_{1\nu} k_2^2 - k_{2\nu} k_1 \cdot k_2) \\
T_{\mu\nu}^4 &= P_\mu P_\nu - \frac{1}{k_1 \cdot k_2} (k_{2\mu} P_\nu k_1 \cdot P + k_{1\nu} P_\mu k_2 \cdot P - g_{\mu\nu} k_1 \cdot P k_2 \cdot P) \\
T_{\mu\nu}^5 &= k_{1\mu} k_{2\nu} - \frac{1}{k_1 \cdot k_2} (k_1^2 k_{2\mu} k_{2\nu} + k_2^2 k_{1\mu} k_{1\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} k_1^2 k_2^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with $P = p_1 - p_2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1 \cdot k_2 &= \frac{s}{2} - k_1^2 - k_2^2 \\
k_1 \cdot P &= \frac{1}{2}(u - t + p_1^2 - p_2^2) \\
k_2 \cdot P &= -\frac{1}{2}(u - t + p_2^2 - p_1^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the case $p_1^2 = p_2^2$, $k_1 \cdot P = -k_2 \cdot P = \frac{1}{2}(u - t)$.

Bose symmetry requires that:


$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\mu\nu}(P, k_1, k_2) &= T_{\mu\nu}(-P, k_1, k_2) \\
&= T_{\nu\mu}(P, k_2, k_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which corresponds also to the exchange $u \leftrightarrow t$. This then implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2(s, t, u) &= A_3(s, u, t) \\
A_i(s, t, u) &= A_i(s, u, t) \quad i = 1, 4, 5
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

2 $\pi^0\pi^0$ photoproduction

2.1 Kinematics in Lab frame



Definitions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega &= |\vec{k}| \\
 \vec{p}_{\pm} &= \vec{p}_1 \pm \vec{p}_2, \quad p_{\pm} = |\vec{p}_{\pm}| \\
 \vec{p}_f &= \vec{k} - \vec{p}_+, \quad E_f = \sqrt{\vec{p}_f^2 + M^2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Spherical coordinates: choose \vec{k} in z direction.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vec{p}_{\pm} &= p_{\pm}(\sin \theta_{\pm} \cos \phi_{\pm}, \sin \theta_{\pm} \sin \phi_{\pm}, \cos \theta_{\pm}) \\
 E_1^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(p_+^2 + p_-^2 + 2p_+ p_- \cos \alpha) + M_{\pi}^2 \\
 E_2^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(p_+^2 + p_-^2 - 2p_+ p_- \cos \alpha) + M_{\pi}^2 \\
 \cos \alpha &= \cos \theta_+ \cos \theta_- + \cos(\phi_+ - \phi_-) \sin \theta_+ \sin \theta_- \\
 \vec{p}_f^2 &= p_+^2 + \omega^2 - 2p_+ \omega \cos \theta_+ \\
 E_f^2 &= \vec{p}_f^2 + M^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

so that $E_1 + E_2 = \omega + M - E_f$ depends only on p_+ and θ_+ . From the above we get:

$$E_1 - E_2 = \frac{p_+ p_- \cos \alpha}{\omega + M - E_f} = \frac{p_+ p_- \cos \alpha}{E_1 + E_2} \tag{9}$$

2.2 Differential cross section

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^5} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{\omega M E_1 E_2 E_f} \delta(\omega + M - E_1 - E_2 - E_f) d^3 p_+ d^3 p_- \\
&= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^5} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{\omega M E_1 E_2 E_f} \delta(\omega + M - E_1 - E_2 - E_f) \mathbf{p}_+^2 \mathbf{p}_-^2 d \cos \theta_+ d \cos \theta_- d\phi_+ d\phi_- d\mathbf{p}_+ d\mathbf{p}_-
\end{aligned}$$

using that $\mathbf{p}_+ \cdot \mathbf{p}_- \cos \alpha = \vec{p}_+ \cdot \vec{p}_- = E_1^2 - E_2^2$, we obtain:

$$\delta(\omega + M - E_1 - E_2 - E_f) = 4 \frac{E_1 E_2 \mathbf{p}_-}{(E_1 + E_2) |\mathbf{p}_-^2 - (E_1 - E_2)^2|} \delta(\mathbf{p}_- - \bar{\mathbf{p}}_-) \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{p}}_- &= \frac{(E_1 + E_2) \sqrt{(E_1 + E_2)^2 - \mathbf{p}_+^2 - 4M_\pi^2}}{\sqrt{(E_1 + E_2)^2 - \mathbf{p}_+^2 \cos^2 \alpha}} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_+^2 + W_{\pi\pi}} \sqrt{W_{\pi\pi} - 4M_\pi^2}}{\sqrt{W_{\pi\pi} + \mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2 \alpha}} \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we defined the squared $\pi\pi$ invariant mass:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\pi\pi} &= (E_1 + E_2)^2 - \mathbf{p}_+^2 = 2(\omega^2 + M^2 + \omega M) - 2\omega \mathbf{p}_+ \cos \theta_+ - 2(\omega + M)E_f \\
&= \left(-\sqrt{M^2 + \mathbf{p}_+^2 - 2\mathbf{p}_+ \omega \cos \theta_+ + \omega^2 + M + \omega} \right)^2 - \mathbf{p}_+^2 \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

The diff cross section then becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma &= \frac{2}{(4\pi)^5} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{\omega M E_f (E_1 + E_2) |\bar{\mathbf{p}}_-^2 - (E_1 - E_2)^2|} \mathbf{p}_+^2 \bar{\mathbf{p}}_-^3 d \cos \theta_+ d \cos \theta_- d\phi_+ d\phi_- d\mathbf{p}_+ \\
&= \frac{2}{(4\pi)^5} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{\omega M E_f |(E_1 + E_2) - \frac{\mathbf{p}_+^2 \cos^2 \alpha}{E_1 + E_2}|} \mathbf{p}_+^2 \bar{\mathbf{p}}_- d \cos \theta_+ d \cos \theta_- d\phi_+ d\phi_- d\mathbf{p}_+ \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where we can use:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 + E_2 &= \omega + M - E_f \\
(E_1 - E_2)^2 &= (E_1 + E_2)^2 - 4E_1 E_2 \\
E_1 E_2 &= \sqrt{M_\pi^4 + \frac{1}{2} M_\pi^2 (\mathbf{p}_+^2 + \mathbf{p}_-^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{p}_+^4 + \mathbf{p}_-^4 - \mathbf{p}_+^2 \mathbf{p}_-^2 \cos(2\alpha))} \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to express the cross section in terms of the invariant mass squared of the two pion system, where $W_{\pi\pi} > 4M_\pi^2$ and

$$d\mathbf{p}_+ = \frac{E_f}{2(\mathbf{p}_+(\omega + M) - \omega(E_1 + E_2) \cos \theta_+)} dW_{\pi\pi} \quad (15)$$

One can then write Eq(10) as:

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_- = \frac{(E_1 + E_2)\sqrt{W_{\pi\pi} - 4M_\pi^2}}{\sqrt{W_{\pi\pi} + \mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2 \alpha}} \quad (16)$$

With some work one can replace everywhere \mathbf{p}_+ in terms of $W_{\pi\pi}$ using Eq. (12). For this, at a given ω and θ_+ , one needs that:

$$W_{\pi\pi}^2 - 4W_{\pi\pi}(M(M + \omega) + \omega^2 \sin^2 \theta_+) + 4M^2\omega^2 > 0 \quad (17)$$

and one gets:

$$\mathbf{p}_+ = \frac{\omega \cos \theta_+(2M\omega + W_{\pi\pi}) \pm (M + \omega)\sqrt{-4M^2(W_{\pi\pi} - \omega^2) - 4MW_{\pi\pi}\omega + 2W_{\pi\pi}\omega^2 \cos 2\theta_+ + W_{\pi\pi}(W_{\pi\pi} - 2\omega^2)}}{2(M + \omega)^2 - 2\omega^2 \cos^2 \theta_+} \quad (18)$$

The next step is to determine the physical domain of integration in the angles and $W_{\pi\pi}$. This is being worked out still.


Also, one should find which angular variables are the most convenient to use. This requires that we know in detail the scattering amplitude's angular dependencies in order to make the choice.

2.3 Forward limit

We will be interested in the limit of large \mathbf{p}_+ , small θ_+ and small to moderate $W_{\pi\pi}$, which implies also small α . This also implies that We also want the limit of large ω . In that limit we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{p}}_- &= \frac{(E_1 + E_2)\sqrt{W_{\pi\pi} - 4M_\pi^2}}{\sqrt{W_{\pi\pi} + \bar{\mathbf{p}}_+^2 \sin^2 \alpha}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{p}}_+ &= \frac{\omega(W_{\pi\pi} + 2M\omega) + (M + \omega)\sqrt{W_{\pi\pi}(W_{\pi\pi} - 4M\omega) + 4M^2(\omega^2 - W_{\pi\pi})}}{2M(M + 2\omega)} \\ &= \omega - \frac{W_{\pi\pi}}{2\omega} - \frac{W_{\pi\pi}^2}{8M\omega^2} - \frac{W_{\pi\pi}^2(2M^2 + W_{\pi\pi})}{16M^2\omega^3} + \dots \\ d\bar{\mathbf{p}}_+ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} + \frac{W_{\pi\pi}}{4M\omega^2} + \frac{W_{\pi\pi} \left(\frac{3W_{\pi\pi}}{M^2} + 4 \right)}{16\omega^3} \right) dW_{\pi\pi} \\ E_f &= \frac{W_{\pi\pi}^3}{16M^2\omega^3} + \frac{W_{\pi\pi}^2}{8M\omega^2} + M \\ E_1 + E_2 &= \omega - \frac{W_{\pi\pi}^2}{8M\omega^2} - \frac{W_{\pi\pi}^3}{16M^2\omega^3} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

3 Primakoff amplitude and cross section



The scattering amplitude is given by the general expression:

$$\mathcal{M} = \epsilon^\mu T_{\mu\nu}(k, q, p_-) \frac{1}{Q^2} J^\nu \quad (20)$$

$T_{\mu\nu}$ is the Compton tensor, $Q^2 = -q^2$, and the target's EM current in the Lab frame we will neglect the spin of the target, and therefore we only care about the its charge:

$$J^\mu = g^{\mu 0} Z e F(Q^2); \text{ note that we still need to use } q_\nu J^\nu = 0 \quad (21)$$

where $F(Q^2)$ is the charge FF of the target.

Since we are interested in the region of the Primakoff peak, first we approximate the amplitude by using the Compton tensor in the limit of real Compton scattering. This is then directly obtained from the result provided by Bellucci et al. which will be valid for the small $W_{\pi\pi}$ regime. Later I will work out a more detailed analysis where the virtuality Q^2 is also included in the Compton tensor, and we will also need to give the amplitude for intermediate values of $W_{\pi\pi}$ (works of Oller and of Pennington).

For a scalar particle the (virtual) Compton tensor is written in terms of five transverse tensors (see Bakker and Ji, Few-Body Syst DOI 10.1007/s00601-016-1172-3)

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \sum_{n=1}^5 A_n(s, t, u) T_n^{\mu\nu} \\ T_1^{\mu\nu} &= k \cdot q g^{\mu\nu} - k^\nu q^\mu \\ T_2^{\mu\nu} &= (k^\mu k^\rho - k^2 g^{\mu\rho})(q_\rho q^\nu - q^2 g_\rho^\nu) \\ T_3^{\mu\nu} &= (p_-^\mu k^\rho - p_- \cdot k g^{\mu\rho})(p_-^\nu q_\rho - p_- \cdot q g_\rho^\nu) \\ T_4^{\mu\nu} &= (p_-^\mu k^\rho - p_- \cdot k g^{\mu\rho})(q_\rho q^\nu - q^2 g_\rho^\nu) + (k^\mu k^\rho - k^2 g^{\mu\rho})(p_-^\nu q_\rho - p_- \cdot q g_\rho^\nu) \\ T_5^{\mu\nu} &= (k^\mu k^\rho - k^2 g^{\mu\rho}) p_{-\rho} p_-^\sigma (q_\sigma q^\nu - q^2 g_\sigma^\nu) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Here, using $k^2 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= p_+^2 = W_{\pi\pi} \\
u - t &= 2k \cdot p_- = 2\omega(E_1 - E_2 - \mathbf{p}_- \cos \theta_-) \\
s + t + u &= 2M_\pi^2 + q^2
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

which allow us to write:

$$\begin{aligned}
q \cdot p_- &= -k \cdot p_- = \frac{1}{2}(t - u) \\
k \cdot q &= \frac{1}{2}(W_{\pi\pi} - q^2)
\end{aligned}$$

(24)

In the limit $k^2 = q^2 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1^{\mu\nu} &= k \cdot q g^{\mu\nu} - k^\nu q^\mu \\
T_2^{\mu\nu} &= k \cdot q k^\mu q^\nu \\
T_3^{\mu\nu} &= (p_-^\mu k^\rho - p_- \cdot k g^{\mu\rho})(p_-^\nu q_\rho - p_- \cdot q g_\rho^\nu) \\
T_4^{\mu\nu} &= (p_-^\mu k \cdot q - p_- \cdot k q^\mu)q^\nu + k^\mu(p_-^\nu k \cdot q - p_- \cdot q k^\nu) \\
T_5^{\mu\nu} &= k \cdot p_- q \cdot p_- k^\mu q^\nu
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where for real photons only T_1 and T_3 will contribute to the amplitude after contracting with photon polarizations. The tensor we need in this limit, eliminating terms that are proportional to k^μ due to transversity with the incoming photon polarization:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = A(W_{\pi\pi}, t, u) \left(\frac{1}{2} W_{\pi\pi} g_{\mu\nu} - k_\nu q_\mu \right) \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ 2B(W_{\pi\pi}, t, u) \left((W_{\pi\pi} - q^2) p_{-\mu} p_{-\nu} - 2(k \cdot p_- q_\mu p_{-\nu} + q \cdot p_- k_\nu p_{-\mu} - g_{\mu\nu} k \cdot p_- q \cdot p_-) \right) \\
&+ C(W_{\pi\pi}, t, u) (W_{\pi\pi} p_-^\mu - 2p_- \cdot k q^\mu) q^\nu
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $p_- = p_1 - p_2$. For the case where one contracts the Compton tensor with a conserved current as it is the case here, namely $T^{\mu\nu} J_\nu$ where J_ν is the target electric current. Since $q^\mu J_\mu = 0$, the term $C(W_{\pi\pi}, t, u)$ does not contribute.

The low energy theorem for Compton scattering gives the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha}{2M_\pi} (A + 16M_\pi^2 B) |_{W_{\pi\pi}=0, t=M_\pi^2} &= \alpha_\pi \\
-\frac{\alpha}{2M_\pi} A |_{W_{\pi\pi}=0, t=M_\pi^2} &= \beta_\pi
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where α_π β_π are the electric and magnetic polarizabilities respectively.

For the functions A and B there are low energy results in ChPT (Bellucci et al) at two loops. The results are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(s, t, u) &= 4 \frac{G_\pi(s)}{s F_\pi^2} (s - M_\pi^2) + U_A + P_A \\ B(s, t, u) &= U_B + P_B \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the functions and polynomials U and P are given in Bellucci's et al., see Appendix A:

$$G_\pi(s) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{M_\pi^2}{s} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \log\left(1 - \frac{s}{M_\pi^2} x(1-x)\right) \right) \quad (30)$$

Use the integral in terms of dilogarithm functions:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \log(1 - Ux(1-x)) = -\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(U - \sqrt{U - 4\sqrt{U}} \right) \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(U + \sqrt{U - 4\sqrt{U}} \right) \right) \quad (31)$$

where in our case U must be taken to have an imaginary part $+i\epsilon$. At low energy $W_{\pi\pi} < (0.4\text{GeV})^2$ the t dependence of the amplitudes A and B is very small and can be neglected. We however should later consider also the effects of $Q^2 > 0$ and check that claim.

3.1 Amplitude squared in Lab frame

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}|^2 &= \frac{1}{Q^4} Z^2 e^2 F^2(Q^2) |A(s, t, u) \omega \epsilon \cdot q \\ &\quad - 2B(s, t, u) ((W_{\pi\pi} + Q^2)(E_1 - E_2) + 2\omega k \cdot p_-) \epsilon \cdot p_- - 2(E_1 - E_2) k \cdot p_- \epsilon \cdot q \\ &= \frac{1}{Q^4} Z^2 e^2 F^2(Q^2) |K_1 \epsilon \cdot q + K_2 \epsilon \cdot p_-|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \omega A(s, t, u) + 4B(s, t, u)(E_1 - E_2)k \cdot p_- \\ K_2 &= -2B(s, t, u)((W_{\pi\pi} + Q^2)(E_1 - E_2) + 2\omega k \cdot p_-) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The relevant products we need to use are:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \cdot q &= -\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p}_+ = -\mathbf{p}_+ \sin \theta_+ \cos \phi_+ \\ \epsilon \cdot p_- &= -\mathbf{p}_- \sin \theta_- \cos \phi_- \\ q^2 &= -Q^2 = W_{\pi\pi} - 2\omega(E_1 + E_2 - \mathbf{p}_+ \cos \theta_+) \\ k \cdot p_- &= \omega(E_1 - E_2 - \mathbf{p}_- \cos \theta_-) \\ q \cdot p_- &= -k \cdot p_- = -\omega(E_1 - E_2 - \mathbf{p}_- \cos \theta_-) \\ p_-^2 &= 4M_\pi^2 - W_{\pi\pi} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

In the case of unpolarized photon beam we get:

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{Q^4} Z^2 e^2 F^2(Q^2) (-|K_1|^2 p_-^2 + |K_2|^2 Q^2 + 2\text{Re}(K_1 K_2^*) p_- \cdot k) \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}|^2 &= \frac{1}{Q^4} Z^2 e^2 F^2(Q^2) (A \omega q^\mu - 2B (E_1 - E_2)((s + Q^2 + q \cdot p_-) p_-^\mu - 2k \cdot p_- q^\mu) \\ &\times (A^* \omega q_\mu - 2B^* (E_1 - E_2)((s + Q^2 + q \cdot p_-) p_{-\mu} - 2k \cdot p_- q_\mu) \\ &= \frac{e^2 Z^2 F(Q^2)^2}{Q^4} \left(Q^2 \omega^2 \left(-|A|^2 - 16|B|^2 (E_1 - E_2)^2 \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. ((E_1 + E_2) \cos(\theta_-) \sqrt{\frac{s - 2M_\pi^2}{\mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2(\alpha) + s}} - E_1 + E_2)^2 \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \left(\omega(E_1 + E_2) \cos(\theta_-) \sqrt{\frac{s - 2M_\pi^2}{\mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2(\alpha) + s}} - E_1 \omega + E_2 \omega + Q^2 + s \right) \right. \\ &\times \left. \left(4\text{Re}(AB^*) \omega^2 (E_1 - E_2) \left(-(E_1 + E_2) \cos(\theta_-) \sqrt{\frac{s - 2M_\pi^2}{\mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2(\alpha) + s}} + E_1 - E_2 \right) \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. 16|B|^2 \omega^2 (E_1 - E_2)^2 \left((E_1 + E_2) \cos(\theta_-) \sqrt{\frac{s - 2M_\pi^2}{\mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2(\alpha) + s}} - E_1 + E_2 \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. 4|B|^2 (E_1 - E_2)^2 \left(\frac{(E_1 + E_2)^2 (2M_\pi^2 - s)}{\mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2(\alpha) + s} + (E_1 - E_2)^2 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. \left(\omega(E_1 + E_2) \cos(\theta_-) \sqrt{\frac{s - 2M_\pi^2}{\mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2(\alpha) + s}} - E_1 \omega + E_2 \omega + Q^2 + s \right) \right) \right) \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Amplitudes A and B for simulation

We need to have a parametrization which for now gives a sufficiently realistic description for carrying out simulations. We present here a model for $A(s, t, u)$ and $B(s, t, u)$ based on dispersion theory to take into account the FSI of the pions with addition of t - and u -channel exchanges of resonances. At present there are many works with increasing level of rigor, with the latest ones being quite complicated. So, for a first approximation we adopt here one of the early models by Donoghue and Holstein (1994).

The Donoghue-Holstein model: premises of the model: 1) only S-wave $\pi\pi$ FSI are considered, which is accurate at small $W_{\pi\pi}$. 2) vector (also axial vector for the case of $\pi^+\pi^-$)

exchanges in t- and u- channels of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ reaction. The latter give the t- and u-dependency. For the neutral pions the model gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
s A(s, t, u) &= -\frac{2}{3}(f_0(s) - f_2(s)) + \frac{2}{3}(p_0(s) - p_2(s)) - \frac{s}{2} \sum_{V=\rho,\omega} R_V \left(\frac{t + M_\pi^2}{t - M_V^2} + \frac{u + M_\pi^2}{u - M_V^2} \right) \\
B(s, t, u) &= -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{V=\rho,\omega} R_V \left(\frac{1}{t - M_V^2} + \frac{1}{u - M_V^2} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Here the subindices 0 and 2 indicate the Isospin state of the pion pair. $f_I(s)$ admit a dispersive representation for which we need the $I = 0$ and 2 S-wave $\pi\pi$ phase shifts. In the case of the neutral pions we only have ρ_0 and ω exchanges, where here R_V indicates the coupling in the vertex $V \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0$. Here we use:

$$R_V = \frac{6M_V^2}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(V \rightarrow \pi\gamma)}{(M_V^2 - M_\pi^2)^3} \tag{39}$$

The functions $p_I(s)$ are known (given below by the model of resonance t- and u-channel exchanges), are real for positive s and reproduce the same discontinuity as $f_I(s)$ for negative s .

The hard problem is to implement the dispersive representation for $f_I(s)$. We will neglect inelasticities in the $\pi\pi$ FSI. The DH model implements the approach of Morgan and Pennington who write a twice subtracted dispersion relation for the combination $(f_I(s) - p_I(s))/\Omega_I(s)$, which has only a discontinuity for $s > 4M_\pi^2$ and is otherwise analytic everywhere. $\Omega_I(s)$ is the Omnès function given in terms of the corresponding $\pi\pi$ phase shift:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_I(s) &= \exp \left(\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_I(s')}{s' - s} \frac{ds'}{s'} \right) \\
\Omega_I(s > 4M_\pi^2) &= e^{i\delta_I(s)} \exp \left(\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_I(s') - \delta_I(s)}{s' - s} \frac{ds'}{s'} + \frac{\delta_I(s)}{\pi} \log \frac{4M_\pi^2}{s - 4M_\pi^2} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We need the inputs of the phase shifts:





Figure 7: $I = 0$ S -wave phase shift. The full line results with the central values of the scattering lengths and of the experimental input used in the Roy equations. The shaded region corresponds to the uncertainties of the result. The dotted lines indicate the boundaries of the region allowed if the constraints imposed by chiral symmetry are ignored [6]. The data points are from refs. [49] and [50].



228

B. Ananthanarayan et al./Physics Reports 353 (2001) 207–279




Figure 5: Different data sets for the S -wave in the $I = 2$ channel and curves that we have used as input in the Roy equation analysis.




FIG. 3. The $I = 0$ S -wave phase shift δ_0^0 for $\pi\pi$ scattering (denoted δ_{11} in the text) from the CERN-Munich group (Ref. 29). The hatched band represents the continuation down to threshold provided by the Roy equations (Ref. 33). The curve shows a fit typical of all our solutions.




Figure 11: Comparison of our Roy solutions with the data on δ_0^2 obtained by the ACM collaboration [54] and by Losty et al. [52]. The full, dash-dotted and dashed lines correspond to the points S_0 , S_2 and S_3 in Fig. 7.

Finally, the functions $p_I(s)$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_I(s) &= f_I^{\text{Born}}(s) + p_I^A(s) + p_I^\rho(s) + p_I^\omega(s) \\
p_0^A(s) = p_2^A(s) &= \frac{L_9^r + L_{10}^r}{F_\pi^2} \left(s + \frac{M_A^2 - M_\pi^2}{\beta(s)} \log \frac{1 + \beta(s) + s_A/s}{1 - \beta(s) + s_A/s} \right) \\
p_0^\rho(s) &= \frac{3}{2} R_\rho \left(\frac{M_\rho^2}{\beta(s)} \log \frac{1 + \beta(s) + s_\rho/s}{1 - \beta(s) + s_\rho/s} \right) \\
p_0^\rho(s) &= 0 \\
p_0^\omega(s) = -\frac{1}{2} p_0^\omega(s) &= \frac{1}{2} R_\omega \left(\frac{M_\omega^2}{\beta(s)} \log \frac{1 + \beta(s) + s_\omega/s}{1 - \beta(s) + s_\omega/s} \right) s
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where L_9^r and L_{10}^r are the renormalized $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ LECs, and:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_i &= 2(M_i^2 - M_\pi^2) \\
R_\omega &= 1.35/\text{GeV}^2; \quad R_\rho = 0.12/\text{GeV}^2 \\
\beta(s) &= \sqrt{\frac{s - 4M_\pi^2}{s}}
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Numerical implementation: we need a simple functional parametrization of the phase shifts (in progress).

4 Possible hadronic exchange background

The possible hadronic t-exchange that can contribute to the $\pi^0\pi^0$ coherent photoproduction will involve ρ^0 and ω exchanges. We need to model this.

5 Appendix A

5.1 U_A and P_A in ChPT (Bellucci et al)

$$\begin{aligned}
U_A &= \frac{2}{sF_\pi^4} G_\pi(s) \left((s^2 - M_\pi^2) J_\pi(s) + C(s) \right) + \frac{\ell_\Delta}{24\pi^2 F_\pi^4} (s - M_\pi^2) J_\pi(s) \\
&+ \frac{\ell_2 - 5/6}{144\pi^2 s F_\pi^4} (s - 4M_\pi^2) (H(s) + 4(sG_\pi(s) + 2M_\pi^2(\tilde{G}_\pi(s) - 3\tilde{J}_\pi(s)))d_{00}^2) \\
P_A &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2 F_\pi^4} (a_1 M_\pi^2 + a_2 s)
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where the constants a_1 and a_2 need to be fitted, and:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\pi(s) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^1 dx \log\left(1 - \frac{s}{M_\pi^2} x(1-x)\right) = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{4 - \frac{s}{M_\pi^2}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{s}{M_\pi^2}}}{\sqrt{4 - \frac{s}{M_\pi^2}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{s}{M_\pi^2}}} \right) \\
\tilde{J}_\pi(s) &= J_\pi(s) - s J'_\pi(0) \\
\tilde{G}_\pi(s) &= G_\pi(s) - s G'_\pi(0) \\
H_\pi(s) &= (s - 10 M_\pi^2) J_\pi(s) + 6 M_\pi^2 G_\pi(s)
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
C(s) &= \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \left(2\left(\ell_1 - \frac{4}{3}\right)(s - 2M_\pi^2)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(\ell_2 - \frac{5}{6}\right)(4s^2 - 8sM_\pi^2 + 16M_\pi^4) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3M_\pi^4 \ell_3 + 12M_\pi^2(s - M_\pi^2)\ell_4 - 12sM_\pi^2 + 15M_\pi^4 \right) \\
d_{00}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(3 \cos^2 \theta_{CM} - 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where θ_{CM} is the $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow \pi\pi$ scattering angle in CM, and the low energy constants ℓ_i are known.

Note that the amplitude depends only on s except for the term d_{00}^2 . It is possible that this term will be entirely irrelevant at low $W_{\pi\pi}$ (need to check).

5.2 U_B and P_B

$$\begin{aligned}
U_B &= \frac{\ell_2 - \frac{5}{6}}{288\pi^2 F_\pi^4 s} H_\pi(s) \\
P_B &= \frac{b}{(4\pi F_\pi)^4}
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where b is fitted.

6 Appendix B

CM kinematics

Useful invariants in Lab frame:

$$\begin{aligned}
q &= \mathbf{p}_+ - k \\
\epsilon^\mu q_\mu &= -\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{q} = -\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}}_+ = -\mathbf{p}_+ \sin \theta_+ \cos \phi_+ \\
\epsilon^\mu p_{-\mu} &= -\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}}_- = -\mathbf{p}_- \sin \theta_- \cos \phi_- \\
k^\mu J_\mu &= \omega Z e F(Q^2) \\
k^\mu p_{+\mu} &= k^\mu q_\mu = \omega(E_1 + E_2 - \mathbf{p}_+ \cos \theta_+) \\
q^\mu p_{+\mu} &= s - k^\mu p_{+\mu} \\
Q^2 &= -s + 2 k^\mu p_{+\mu} = -s + 2\omega((E_1 + E_2) - \mathbf{p}_+ \cos \theta_+) \\
&= 2\omega \mathbf{p}_+(1 - \cos \theta_+) + s\left(\frac{\omega}{\mathbf{p}_+} - 1\right) - s^2 \frac{\omega}{4\mathbf{p}_+^3} + \dots \\
q^\mu p_{-\mu} &= -k^\mu p_{-\mu} = -\omega(E_1 - E_2 - \mathbf{p}_- \cos \theta_-)
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= 4 \omega_{CM}^2 = p_+ \cdot p_+ \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{s(s - 4M_\pi^2)} \cos \theta_{CM} &= k \cdot p_- = \omega(E_1 - E_2 - \mathbf{p}_- \cos \theta_-)
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where we can use:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 + E_2 &= \sqrt{s + \mathbf{p}_+^2} \\
E_1 - E_2 &= \frac{\mathbf{p}_+ \sqrt{s - 4M_\pi^2} \cos \alpha}{\sqrt{s + \mathbf{p}_+^2 \sin^2 \alpha}} \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$