

The Kalman Filter

Kei Moriya

Indiana University

April 11, 2011

Motivation

- Understand the origin of χ^2 of each track
- Need to know the inner workings of the Kalman filter
- essential to physics analyses

GOAL

What is the Kalman filter, and how does it work?

Overview I

- Kalman filtering is a powerful tool to estimate the best parameters for a given system
- The system is assumed to evolve according to a known set of equations, with some **stochastic error**
- The parameters to determine are x (n -dimensional vector)
- If the x are known at the $k - 1$ th point, then the values at the k th point are given as

$$x_k = Ax_{k-1} + w_{k-1},$$

where the matrix A represents the **known dynamics**, and the w_{k-1} represent the **update error**

Overview II

- At the k th point, we also do a **measurement** of the system, so that we have the **prediction** and the **measurement**
- In general, we cannot directly measure the observables of interest x directly, but we measure z (m -dimensional vector), which have the relation

$$z_k = Hx_k + v_k,$$

where H is a $m \times n$ matrix

- The v_k are the **measurement errors** associated with the measurement

THE QUESTION IS:

Given the **prediction** for x_k and the **measurement** z_k , what is the best estimate for x_k ?

Simple Example I

- Assume we want to estimate the position of a particle in 1D
- Assume no dynamics
- If the 1st measurement yields $x_1 \pm \sigma_1$, our best estimate at a later time will be the same
- Now, if a second measurement yields $x_2 \pm \sigma_2$, what is the best estimate for x ?



Best estimate is *weighted average*

$$x = \frac{\sum_{i=1,2} x_i / \sigma_i^2}{\sum_{i=1,2} 1 / \sigma_i^2}$$

$$\sigma^2 = 1 / \left(\sum_{i=1,2} 1 / \sigma_i^2 \right)$$

Simple Example I

- The answer is:

$$x = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} x_1 + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} x_2$$
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$$

- Let us rewrite this as

$$\begin{cases} x = x_1 + K(x_2 - x_1), \\ \sigma^2 = (1 - K)\sigma_1^2, \\ K = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} = \sigma_1^2 (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Simple Example I

- Notice:
 - ▶ The equation

$$x = x_1 + K(x_2 - x_1)$$

is of the form

best estimate = prediction + Kalman gain \times (measurement - prediction)

- ▶ measurement - prediction \equiv residual
- ▶ $\sigma^2 < \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2$ (more measurements, less error)
- ▶ if $\sigma_1 \rightarrow 0$, $x \rightarrow x_1$ and vice versa

Simple Example II

- The previous example had no dynamics, but for example, we could have a system where the position of the particle is given as

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = u + w,$$

where u is constant and w is a stochastic error (e.g. multiple scattering)

- In this case, if the measurement at t_1 gave $x_1 \pm \sigma_1$, then our **prediction** at t_2 will be

$$x = x_1 + u(t_2 - t_1)$$
$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_w^2(t_2 - t_1)$$

- Now given a **measurement** at t_2 that is $x_2 \pm \sigma_2$, our best estimate is given by the same kind of expression as before
- Notice that both the **prediction error** and the **measurement error** are combined to give an error that is smaller than both

General Form

- The evolution of the system is given as

$$x_k = Ax_{k-1} + w_{k-1} \quad \text{prediction}$$

$$z_k = Hx_k + v_k \quad \text{measurement with noise}$$

- Assume the stochastic error in the prediction has covariance matrix $(n \times n)$ Q
- Starting from the covariance matrix at $k - 1$, the predicted covariance matrix is

$$C_k^- = AC_{k-1}A^T + Q \quad \text{all } n \times n \text{ matrices}$$

- Assume the **measurement** has a covariance matrix $(m \times m)$ R
- We would like to combine the a priori **prediction** x_k^- , the a posteriori **measurement** z_k , while taking into account the errors Q and R .

General Form

- The *best estimate* for x_k given the measurement z_k should minimize the a posteriori covariance matrix with respect to x_k
- The solution is the same form as in the examples,

$$\begin{aligned}x &= x_k^- + K(z_k - Hx_k^-) \\K &= C_k^- H^T (HC_k^- H^T + R)^{-1} \\C_k &= (1 - KH)C_k^-\end{aligned}$$

(compare to equations on p.6)

- Recall that H is the $m \times n$ matrix that converts the parameters x into z , i.e.,

$$z_k = Hx_k + v_k$$

How This Applies to GlueX

- Kalman filter implemented in class `DTrackFitterKalmanSIMD`
- The parameters of interest are called S (what we called x above), and is a 5D vector
- The dynamics of the system (A above) is the charged particles bending in the magnetic field
- The stochastic errors (Q above) associated with the update equations are multiple scattering
- We assume we know both A and Q
- The hits in the drift chambers constitute the measurements (z above)

DTrackFitterKalmanSIMD::KalmanForwardCDC

- This function sets the χ^2 and ndof for a given region of the detector
- We want the best estimate of $\vec{S} = \{x, y, t_x, t_y, q/p\}$
- The update equation is given by the 5×5 matrix J
- The multiple scattering covariance matrix is given by Q
- Each measurement is the CDC hits, which gives 1 measurement, so that z is a 1-dimensional vector
- The measurement “covariance matrix” is given by V , where

$$V = \sigma_{\text{CDC}}^2(dm) + v_{\text{CDC}}^2\sigma_{t_0}^2,$$
$$dm = v_{\text{CDC}} \times (t_{\text{drift}} - t_{\text{hit}} - t_0)$$

- Putting all this together:

expression	code
$C^- = ACA^T + Q$	$C = JCJ^T + Q$
$x = x^- + K(z - x^-)$	$S = S + K(dm - d)$
$C = (1 - KH)C^-$	$C = (1 - KH)C$
$K = C^-H(HC^-H^T + R)^{-1}$	$K = CH(HCH^T + V)^{-1}$