Leading Edge Timing Distributions

- Some discussion about timing distributions last week.
- Calculated times based on time-of-flight and light propagation speed in BCAL
- Wiki page put up explaining it. Seems to be understood now.





JANA factory dependency graph for lowlevel reconstruction used in current study. (generated by janadot plugin) 7/31/12

Reconstruction

Reconstruction shown here are obtained using the Indiana Univ. (IU) algorithm which is the default for DBCALShower

...BUT...

The higher-level reconstruction factories (*DChargedTrackHypothesis* and *DNeutralShower*) explicitly call the KLOE algorithm still

This will be corrected soon.

Angular Dependence of Energy Calibration



7/31/12

Energy Calibration



- 36 Data sets generated with mono-energetic photons and discrete angles.
- Reconstructed energy for each data set fit to Gaussian
- Mean is used to normalize σ
- Dark hits "pedestal" not subtracted so σ's are slightly smaller than they should be





The simulation was modified:

- Removed explicit adding of floor term in smearing due to sampling fluctuations
- Reduced fADC threshold so that it no longer matched TDC threshold (~factor of 5)

n.b. Blake's simulation did indicate large floor term in forward direction, possibly due to leakage through downstream end



Energy Resolution



Blake's Simulation Results

<u>11.1°-11.2°</u> 6.5%/√E + 11.0%

13.8°-15.2° 5.7%/√E + 0.6%

24.9°-21.5° 5.3%/√E + 0.8%

<u>From PDG</u>: 1/sqrt(E) = stochastic (shower fluctuations, photo-statistics, preshower material, sampling fluctuations)

Constant or "Floor" term

(detector non-uniformity, calibration uncertainty)

1/E = noise (electronic noise)

7/31/12



Summary

- Work continues on the simulation/smearing code for the BCAL
- Energy resolution has strong dependence on noise (1/E) term
- Implementing new scheme into base reconstruction will require development of energy and timing calibration code