## CDC and FDC Track Fitting

Jan. 21, 2009 David Lawrence JLab

### CDC Number of hits per track





Target Center:  $B_z \approx 1.8T$ 

#### CDC downstream end: $B_z \approx 2.2T$

field changes by more than 20% for tracks going forward through the CDC

#### CDC Number of hits per track



# Cumulative $\chi^2$ distributions with uniform and non-uniform Magnetic fields



30° tracks still stand out a little with the uniform field but the difference is greatly reduced from the non-uniform case.

#### Angular dependence of FDC residual width along wire

The width of the residual distribution for distance along the wire (from cathode reconstruction) has a dependence on the incident angle.

This dependence was determined as a function of the thrown  $\theta$  angle of the track by fitting a 3<sup>rd</sup> order polynomial. The results of the fit were used to determine the error on the measurement along the wires for the FDC as a function of thrown  $\theta$ .



# Cumulative $\chi^2$ with and without angle dependent position resolution along FDC wire



Improvement in cumulative c2 is relatively modest for forward going angles

# **Additional Geometries**

Name	CDC length
geomL	175 cm
geomC*	150 cm
geomJ	125 cm
geomK	100 cm

\*geomC is the baseline geometry

Upstream end of CDC and position of last FDC package held fixed. Upstream FDC package positions adjusted to maintain equal spacing and spacing from CDC downstream end.

◄       ▶ <b>README:1</b>	cdc. it ed
The following values must be changed in the XML in order to change the length of the CDC to L Note that this will keep the upstream end of the CDC fixed at L=17cm in the lab system. Also, is assumed that the FDC package spacing will be adjusted so that the downstream end stays fix at z=190.0+83.5+76+4.5+3 = 357cm in the lab frame. The width spanned by the FDC packages will then be Lfdc = 357 - (Lcdc+40.0) fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2 fdc2 = fdc1/3	cdc. it ed
The following values must be changed in the XML in order to change the length of the CDC to L Note that this will keep the upstream end of the CDC fixed at L=17cm in the lab system. Also, is assumed that the FDC package spacing will be adjusted so that the downstream end stays fix at z=190.0+83.5+76+4.5+3 = 357cm in the lab frame. The width spanned by the FDC packages will then be Lfdc = 357 - (Lcdc+40.0) fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2 fdc2 = fdc1/3	cdc. it ed
Ine following values must be changed in the XML in order to change the length of the UDU to L Note that this will keep the upstream end of the CDC fixed at L=17cm in the lab system. Also, is assumed that the FDC package spacing will be adjusted so that the downstream end stays fix at z=190.0+83.5+76+4.5+3 = 357cm in the lab frame. The width spanned by the FDC packages will then be Lfdc = 357 - (Lcdc+40.0) fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2 fdc2 = fdc1/3	cdc. it ed
<ul> <li>Note that this will keep the upstream end of the OU fixed at L=1/cm in the lab system. Also, assumed that the FOC package spacing will be adjusted so that the downstream end stays fix at z=190.0+83.5+76+4.5+3 = 357cm in the lab frame.</li> <li>The width spanned by the FDC packages will then be Lfdc = 357 - (Lcdc+40.0)</li> <li>fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2</li> <li>fdc2 = fdc1/3</li> </ul>	ed
at z=190.0+83.5+76+4.5+3 = 357cm in the lab frame. The width spanned by the FDC packages will then be Lfdc = 357 - (Lcdc+40.0) fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2 fdc2 = fdc1/3	
The width spanned by the FDC packages will then be Lfdc = 357 - (Lcdc+40.0) fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2 fdc2 = fdc1/3	
The width spanned by the FDC packages will then be Lfdc = 357 - (Lcdc+40.0) (Lcdc+40.0) (Lcdc -2*(4.5+3))/2 (dc2 = fdc1/3	
$\begin{array}{l} 33\\ 34\\ fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2\\ 35\\ fdc2 = fdc1/3 \end{array}$	
34 fdc1 = (Lfdc -2*(4.5+3))/2 35 fdc2 = fdc1/3	
35   fdc2 = fdc1/3	
This does not charge the option excitions or cooling mechan	
17 This uses not change the cubic positions of coorting mesnes.	
39	
40 main_HDDS.xml:	
41	
42	
43 line 68: ForwardDC should have z value of 17+Lcdc+23	
44	
45 CentralDC HDDS yml·	
47	
48	
49 line 46: centralDC_option-1 should be Lcdc/2	
50	
51 line 48: CDBD should be Lcdc+1.5	
52 June 49: CDCD should be lode:10 5	
54	
55 line 67: CDPU should be -(Lcdc/2+0.45)	
56 line 68: CDPD should be +(Lcdc/2+0.20)	
57	
58 Time 82: CDPU should be $-(Lcdc/2+0.45)$	
so The os: CDPD should be +(Ccac/2+0.20)	
51 Line 95: CDPU should be $-(1 \text{ cdc}/2+0.45)$	
62 line 96: CDPD should be +(Lcdc/2+0.20)	
63	
64 line 143: CDPU should be -(Lcdc/2+0.45)	
65 line 144: CDPD should be +(Lcdc/2+0.20)	
66	
$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ \mathbf$	
69	
70 line 169: CDPU should be -(Lcdc/2+0.45)	
71 line 170: CDPD should be +(Lcdc/2+0.20)	
73   line 221: CDPU should be -(Lodo/2+0.45) 74   line 222: CDPD should be -(Lodo/2+0.45)	
75	
76 Jine 236: CDDH should be /lode/2:0 45)	٧
77	

71 changes required in 3 XML files to modify CDC length!

# Locking in the LR choice

The current studies use a fitter that locks in the left-right choice based on the results of fitting to the wire positions. Looking only at tracks that were found **and** had the correct L-R choice made for all hits gives a limit on what might be achieved with a better algorithm.



# Single hit in CDC can cause big problems when LR choice is locked

A single wire hit has only a little influence on the fit which leads to a higher rate of incorrect LR choices from the wire-based fit.



Tracking Efficiency vs<sub>x</sub><sup>2</sup>/Ndof (residuals) January 19, 2009 DL svn revision: 4715+ Candidates from FINDER : geomC Single 1GeV/c x\* with MULS OFF ; CDC=150µm FDC=200µm/20 Efficiency (fraction) geomC 0.8 0.6 Expected (Ndof=40) Expected (Ndof=23) Expected (Ndof=17) 0.4 θ=2° θ=4° θ=7° A=10° 0.2 θ=15° θ**=20** 1  $\chi^2$ /Ndof from hit residuals 10

170 cm

## Tracking Efficiency for various CDC lengths

(FDC finder used Hough transform and wire-intersection points)



#### Tracking Efficiency for various CDC lengths

(THROWN tracks used for candidates)





### Efficiency vs. angle

# Summary

- Fitting of FDC tracks with old ALT1 leastsquares fitter is more or less working again
- Results of this method depend heavily on quality of candidates and the inability to try alternate LR choices in the fit
- No conclusions can really be drawn at this time

# Outlook

- Transition region finder needs to be reactivated
- FDC needs to be moved closer to CDC to close off gap which may be important at smaller CDC lengths
- 1°,1GeV/c π<sup>+</sup> tracks do not appear to be passing through the active volume of FDC like they should